



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,745	02/28/2002	Gary de Jong	24601-416C	8781	
20985	7590 11/12/2004		EXAM	INER	
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			LAMBERTSC	LAMBERTSON, DAVID A	
12390 EL CAMINO REAL SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN DILGO	011 32100 2001		1636		

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/086,745	DE JONG ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David A. Lambertson	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee tee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 18-22,40 and 41.						
Claim(s) objected to: 35-39.						
Claim(s) rejected: 17,31 and 33.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	unp difference."				
10. Other:		1				

JAMES KETTER PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive to overcome the rejection under 35 USC § 102(b) in view of Nolan et al. Applicant contends that the teachings of Nolan indicate that the labeling of the artificial chromosome occurs after the delivery of the artificial chromosome into the cell, whereas the instant method requires that the "large nucleic acid" be labeled prior to delivery. Applicant suggests that the following paragraph (page 9, line 25 to pag 10, line 6) clearly indicates that the method of Nolan does not teach labeling the artificial chromosome prior to delivery:

"There are numerous means for determining the successful incorporation of a single chromosome into the cell. It is presently preferred that the verification be made by a FACS machine. Presently, it is preferred that the chromosome be fluorescently labeled. Thus, after insertion of the chromosome, the cell can pass into a recovery chamber where its fluorescent scatter properties are analyzed by the FACS to determine whether one and only one chromosome has been inserted. Current artificial chromosomes are very AT rich due to the fact that they contain a large percentage of pericentric alpha satellite DNA, which is very AT rich. This type of chromosome is identified and sorted by using chromomycin A3 and Hoechst 33258 stains and dual laser high speed now cytometry. The AT rich chromosomes carry a specific ratio of the dyes and can be identified in this manner."

The third sentence clearly indicates that "it is preferred that the chromosome be fluorescently labeled" in reference to the incorporation of a single chromosome into a cell. Nothing in this sentence indicates that the chromosome must or is labeled after its introduction into the host cell, thus there is no reason to conclude this as a fact. Thus, Nolan contemplates using a fluorescently labeled chromosome in their method. Nowhere in the citation made by Applicant does Nolan teach that the labeling of the artificial chromosome must occur, or even does occur, after the delivery of the chromosome into the cell.

Furthermore, it is noted that Applicant does not define what a "label" is in the instant specification. Thus, the skilled artisan would interpret "label" to have its common meaning, which is "To identify or designate with a label." Thus, even if Nolan did teach using chromomycin A3 and Hoechst 33258 stains as the only means of "fluorescently" labeling the artificial chromosome, and only taught using said labels after incorporation of the chromosome into the host cell, this does not preclude Nolan from serving as an anticipatory reference. This is because the chromosomes used by Nolan are artificial, therefore they are synthesized as containing AT-rich segments. These segments meet the common meaning of "labeled" because they can be detected, e.g., using chromomycin A3 and Hoechst 33258. Thus, Nolan teaches using a "labeled" large nucleic acid in their method, using the commonly accepted meaning of the term "label." It is noted that nothing in Applicant's claim or specification necessitates that the label be detected directly, thus nothing precludes an AT-rich segment from meeting the limitations of being a "label."